arXiv:1607.00846v1 [math.DG] 4 Jul 2016

ON THE Z-DECOMPOSITION OF SOME EUCLIDIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

R. P. Nimpa[1], **M. B. Djiadeu**[2], **J. Wouafo Kamga**[3]
e-mail: **1**. nimpapefoukeu@yahoo.fr, **2**. djiadeu@yahoo.fr, **3**. wouafoka@yahoo.fr
University of Yaounde 1, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 812, Yaounde, Republic of Cameroon.

Abstract. In this paper we use the $Z-$decomposition as a tool to find locally symmetric left invariant Riemannian metrics on some Lie groups. For this purpose, we need to compute the spectrum of the curvature operator. Since the study of this spectrum is very difficult, we impose restriction on the class of Riemannian Lie groups and their dimension. We investigate the 4−dimensional connected Riemannian Lie groups whose associated Lie algebras are $\mathbb{A}_2\oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,1}\oplus\mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,3}\oplus\mathbb{A}_1$ and the subclasses of 3 and 4−dimensional Riemannian Lie groups which are $C-$spaces.

1. Introduction and Main results

Locally symmetric Riemannian metric is defined as Riemannian metric for which the curvature tensor is invariant under parallel translations. It is well known that Riemannian metric with constant sectional curvature, product of locally symmetric Riemannian metrics and the left invariant Riemannian metric induced by the opposite of the Killing form on a connected compact semi-simple Lie group, are examples of locally symmetric Riemannian metrics. A manifold endowed with a locally symmetric Riemannian metric is a Riemannian locally symmetric space. Elie Cartan in [4, 5] gave a complete classification of Riemannian locally symmetric spaces, but he didn't give more information about such metrics.

In this paper we find locally symmetric left invariant Riemannian metrics on some Lie groups.

A Lie group G together with a left invariant Riemannian metric g is called a *Riemannian Lie group.* The left invariant Riemannian metric g on G induces an inner product on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G and conversely, any inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to a unique left invariant metric on G. Let ∇, R and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denoted the Levi-Civita connexion , the Riemann curvature tensor associated to g and the inner product induced by g on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G.

Definition 1.1. *A left invariant Riemannian metric g on a Lie group G is locally symmetric if $\nabla R = 0$.*

This is equivalent to saying that for $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\nabla_w(R(x,y)z) = R(\nabla_w x, y)z + R(x, \nabla_w y)z + R(x,y)\nabla_w z, \tag{1}$$

where $R(x,y) = \nabla_{[x,y]} - \nabla_x\nabla_y + \nabla_y\nabla_x$.

We find what conditions on the structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ are needed for a left invariant Riemannian metric g on G to be locally symmetric. For Lie groups of dimension > 3, it is very difficult to apply Definition 1.1.

Using the $Z-$decomposition as a tool, we find some locally symmetric metrics on some 4-dimensional Riemannian Lie groups. In fact, the left invariant Riemannian metric splits as a direct product of left invariant metrics, when the Lie algebra admits a $Z-$decomposition. Now, we formulate the following which is our first main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (G, g) be a connected Riemannian Lie group with the associated euclidian Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$.*

Key words and phrases: Lie algbra, Lie group, locally symmetric metric, Z-decomposition.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C20, 53C35, 53C30.
The authors specially thank Professor Mohamed Boucetta of the University of Cadi-Ayyad of Morocco, for the suggestion of this topic and the Z-decomposition technic to accomplish this work.

(1) *If* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$, *then* g *is locally symmetric if and only the non-zero structure constant in an* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle-$*orthonormal basis is* $C^1_{12} = a$, $a > 0$;
(2) *If* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, *then* g *is not locally symmetric;*
(3) *if* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, *then* g *is locally symmetric if the non-zero structure constants in an* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle-$*orthonormal base is* $C^1_{13} = C^2_{23} = a, \quad a > 0$.

We also have:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* (G, g) *be a connected Riemannian Lie group with an harmonic Weyl tensor,* $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ *the associated euclidian Lie algebra. If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is one of the euclidian Lie algebras* $2\mathbb{A}_2$, $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,6}$ *with* $\alpha \neq 1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,9} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{3,7} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ *with* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $\mathbb{A}_{4,12}$, *then* g *is locally symmetric.*

This paper is organized as follows: section 2 is devoted to some basic knowledge on the curvature tensor and locally symmetric metrics on 3−dimension Riemannian Lie groups. In section 3, we recall the algorithm of the $Z-$decomposition. In section 4, we prove the theorem on the $Z-$decomposition of the euclidian Lie algebra of a 3−dimension Riemannian Lie groups and we prove the main Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 above.

2. Preliminaries

Let (G, g) be an n-dimension connected Riemannian Lie group and denote by Hol, $\mathcal{H}^{inf}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ the holonomy group , the infinitesimal holonomy group and the primitive holonomy group at the identity element e of G, respectively . Their Lie algebras are denoted by $\mathfrak{hol}$, $\mathfrak{h}^{inf}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively. Since a Riemannian Lie group is an analytic manifold, $\mathcal{H}^{inf} = Hol$. For more details about the holonomy group and his subgroups, see [12].

2.1. **The curvature tensor linear operator.** Let $x, y, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. We recall that on the set $\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ of bivectors of $\mathfrak{g}$, the inner product denoted $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined by:

$$\langle x \wedge y, v \wedge w\rangle_{\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}} \quad = \quad \langle x, v\rangle\langle y, w\rangle - \langle x, w\rangle\langle y, v\rangle. \tag{2}$$

Let $\overline{R}$ be the self-adjoint operator also called the curvature operator, associated to the symmetric bilinear form (the Riemannian curvature) on the euclidian space $(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}})$,

$$\langle\overline{R}(x \wedge y), v \wedge w\rangle_{\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}} = R(x \wedge y, v \wedge w) = \langle R(x, y)v, w\rangle = R(x, y, v, w) \tag{3}$$

Remark 2.1. *If* $(e_i)_{i=1\cdots n}$ *is an orthonormal basis for* $\mathfrak{g}$, *the inner product* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}}$ *is such that the bivector* $(e_i \wedge e_j)_{i<j}$ *is an orthonormal basis of* $\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$.

The relation (2) induces a linear isomorphism from the set of bivectors to the set of skew-symmetric endomorphisms, such that for $u \wedge w \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$u \wedge w(x) = \langle u, x\rangle w - \langle w, x\rangle u. \tag{4}$$

Remark 2.2. *Using this interpretation, we identify the image* $Im\overline{R}$ *of* $\overline{R}$ *to the linear space of formal linear combination of the elements of* $\{R(u, v),\ u, v \in \mathfrak{g}\}$, *denoted* S, *see* [13].

For convenience, in the rest of this paper, the skew-symmetric endomorphism associated to a bivector h will be denoted $\widetilde{h}$.

Definition 2.3. [13] *Let* h *be any arbitrary bivector.*

(1) *The decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_q \tag{5}$$

where U_0 *is the kernel of* $\widetilde{h}$ *and* U_k , $1 \leq k \leq q$ *are* $2-$*dimensional invariant real planes of the real operator* $\widetilde{h}$, *is the Jordan decomposition of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *with respect to* h.
(2) *The number* q *in the Jordan decomposition is the* rank *of* h.

Remark 2.4. $q = \sum m_k$ *where* m_k *is the multiplicity of the non null eigenvalue* $\lambda_k = i\nu_k$, $\nu_k > 0$ *of* $\widetilde{h}$.

Definition 2.5. [13] *Let h be any arbitrary bivector. The decomposition*

$$h = \sum_{k=1}^{q} v_k \wedge w_k \tag{6}$$

where v_k, $w_k \in U_k$ and U_k are the 2−dimensional subspaces of the Jordan decomposition, is the Darboux decomposition or the Darboux normal form of the bivector h.

Remark 2.6. [13]

(1) *If the multiplicity m_k of non-null eigenvalue $\lambda_k = iv_k$ of $\widetilde{h}$ is greater than one, then the subspace $H_k = U_{k_1} \oplus U_{k_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{k_{m_k}}$ of the Jordan decomposition is not unique. In other words the Darboux decomposition is not unique.*
(2) *If the eigenvalue $\lambda_k = iv_k \neq 0$ is simple, then the bivector $v_k \wedge w_k$ corresponding to λ_k is unique and always occurs in every Darboux decomposition of h.*

Definition 2.7. [13] *The eigenvector $h \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ of the curvature operator $\overline{R}$ is said to be irreducible if any Darboux normal form of h does not split into two nontrivial summands such that they are also eigenvectors of $\overline{R}$.*

Remark 2.8. *If $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 4$ and $dim \ker \widetilde{h} = 2$, then h is irreducible. In fact the nonnull eigenvalue iv_k, $v_k > 0$ of $\widetilde{h}$ is simple.*

2.2. **Riemannian Lie groups with harmonic Weyl tensor or C−spaces.** The Ricci tensor r and the scalar curvature s are defined by $r(x, y) = tr(u \longmapsto R(x, u)y)$ and $s = tr(r)$, respectively. Dividing the tensor R by the metric g in the sense of Kulkarni-Nomizu product [2], we obtain the Weyl tensor and the 1−dimensional curvature tensor A (also called the Schouten tensor) , such that

$$R = W + A \odot g, \qquad A = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(r - \frac{s\,g}{2(n-1)}\right) \qquad \text{and} \tag{7}$$

$$A \odot g(x, y, u, v) = A(x, u)g(y, v) + A(y, v)g(x, u) - A(x, v)g(y, u) - A(y, u)g(x, v)$$

for $x, y, u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$ For more details, see [2]

Definition 2.9. [2, 8] *A Riemannian Lie group (G, g) is said to be conformally flat if its Weyl tensor is trivial.*

For a conformally flat Riemannian Lie group, the spectrum of curvature operator $\overline{R} : \wedge^2\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ is given by O.P. Gladunova in [8]. The following result holds:

Proposition 2.10. [8] *Let (G, g) be a conformally flat n−dimensional Riemannian Lie group i.e $W = 0$, and let $(e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n)$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ in which the Ricci operator r and the one dimensional curvature A are simultaneouly diagonalizable. Then in the basis $(e_i \wedge e_j)_{i<j}$, the curvature operator $\overline{R}$ is diagonalizable and the spectrum of $\overline{R}$ is $\{K_{ij}, \quad i < j\}$, where $K_{ij} = K_\sigma(e_i \wedge e_j)$ is the sectional curvature in the direction $(e_i \wedge e_j)$.*

Definition 2.11. [3, 10] *A Riemannian Lie group (G, g) of dimension ≥ 4 is called a C-space or a space with harmonic Weyl tensor if $divW = 0$.*

An euclidian 4−dimensional Lie algebra that admits a Z−decomposition, splits into a direct sum (as linear spaces), of non trivial Lie algebras both of dimension 2 or dimension 1 and 3. The structure constants of the Lie algebra of a 3−dimensional locally symmetric Riemannian Lie group satisfies the following conditions:

Remark 2.12. *Riemannian Lie groups of dimension 1 and 2 are locally locally symmetric Riemannian spaces.*

Lemma 2.13. *Let G be a connected 3−dimensional real unimodular Lie group with left-invariant Riemannian metric. (G, g) is a locally symmetric Riemannian Lie group if and only if in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, there exists an $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$−orthonormal basis in which structure constants of the Lie algebra are presented in table 1:*

Lie algebra	*structure constants*	*restrictions*
$\mathbb{R}^3$	$C^k_{i,j} = 0$	
$\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathfrak{so}(2)$	$C^3_{1,2} = C^2_{3,1} = a,$	$a > 0$
$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$C^3_{1,2} = C^1_{2,3} = C^2_{3,1} = a$	$a > 0$

Table 1

proof: Using orthonormal Milnor basis (see [7]) for unimodular euclidian Lie algebras such that the structure constants are $C^3_{1,2} = a, \quad C^1_{2,3} = c, \quad C^3_{3,1} = b$, the non-null components of the Riemannian curvature tensor R are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(e_1,e_2)e_1 &= \frac{-2a(a-b-c)-(a-b+c)(a+b-c)}{4}\,e_2;\\
R(e_1,e_2)e_2 &= \frac{2a(a-b-c)+(a-b+c)(a+b-c)}{4}\,e_1;\\
R(e_1,e_3)e_1 &= \frac{2b(a-b+c)+(a-b-c)(a+b-c)}{4}\,e_3;\\
R(e_1,e_3)e_3 &= \frac{-2b(a-b+c)-(a-b-c)(a+b-c)}{4}\,e_1;\\
R(e_2,e_3)e_2 &= \frac{2c(a+b-c)+(a-b+c)(a-b-c)}{4}\,e_3;\\
R(e_2,e_3)e_3 &= \frac{-2c(a+b-c)-(a-b+c)(a-b-c)}{4}\,e_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the vanishing component $R(e_1,e_2)e_3,\ R(e_1,e_3)e_2,\ R(e_2,e_3)e_1$, if the metric is locally symmetric, then the direct computation of the local symmetry condition, see equation (1) in Definition 1.1, yields the system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a-b)(a+b-c)^2 &= 0\\
(c-a)(a-b+c)^2 &= 0\\
(c-b)(a-b-c)^2 &= 0
\end{aligned}
\qquad (8)
$$

(a,b,c) is a solution of (8) if and only if $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,b,b),(a,a,0),(a,0,a),(a,a,a), a,b \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}\}$. At least, one of the structure constants $a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}$ is negative.

(1) If $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,b,b),(a,a,0),(a,0,a); a,b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then the curvature tensor vanish *i.e* $R(u,v)w = 0$ forall $u,v,w \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore $\nabla R = 0$ and the metric is locally symmetric.

(2) If $(a,b,c) = (a,a,a)$ with $a \neq 0$, then the non vanishing components of curvature tensor we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(e_1,e_2)e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}a^2e_2, \quad R(e_1,e_3)e_2 = \frac{1}{2}a^2e_3, \quad R(e_2,e_3)e_2 = \frac{1}{2}a^2e_3,\\
R(e_1,e_2)e_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}a^2e_1, \quad R(e_1,e_3)e_3 = -\frac{1}{2}a^2e_1, \quad R(e_2,e_3)e_1 = -\frac{1}{2}a^2e_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

By direct computation, the equality

$$\nabla_{e_m}(R(e_i,e_j)e_k) = R(e_i,e_j)\nabla_{e_m}e_k + R(\nabla_{e_m}e_i,e_j)e_k + R(e_i,\nabla_{e_m}e_j)e_k$$

holds for $i,j,k,m \in \{1,2,3\}$.

Therefore the metric is locally symmetric. ■

Lemma 2.14. *Let G be a connected 3−dimensional real nonunimodular Lie group with left-invariant Riemannian metric. (G, g) is a locally symmetric Riemannian Lie group if and only if in the Lie algebra*

$\mathfrak{g}$ of G, there exist an $\langle\ ,\ \rangle-$orthonormal basis in which the structure constants of the Lie algebra are presented in table 2:

Lie algebra	*structure constants*	*restrictions*
$\mathbb{R}^4$	*commutative algebra:* $C^k_{i,j}=0$	
$\mathfrak{g}_I$	$C^2_{1,2}=C^3_{1,3}=a$	$a>0$
$\mathfrak{g}_D$	$C^2_{1,2}=C^3_{1,3}=a,\quad C^3_{1,2}=-C^2_{1,3}=b\quad or\quad C^2_{1,3}=a$	$a>0, b>0$

Table 2

proof: Using an orthonormal Milnor basis for nonunimodular euclidian Lie algebras such that the structure constants are $C^2_{1,2}=a,\quad C^3_{1,2}=b,\quad C^2_{1,3}=c,\quad C^3_{1,3}=d$ with $a+d\neq 0$ and $ac+bd=0$. The non null components of the curvature tensor R are:

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_1,e_2)e_1 &= -(a^2+\frac{3}{4}b^2-\frac{1}{4}c^2+\frac{1}{2}b\,c)e_2, \quad R(e_1,e_2)e_2 = (a^2+\frac{3}{4}b^2-\frac{1}{4}c^2+\frac{1}{2}b\,c)e_1,\\ R(e_1,e_3)e_1 &= -(d^2-\frac{1}{4}b^2+\frac{3}{4}c^2+\frac{1}{2}b\,c)\,e_3, \quad R(e_1,e_3)e_3 = (d^2-\frac{1}{4}b^2+\frac{3}{4}c^2+\frac{1}{2}b\,c)\,e_1\ .\\ R(e_2,e_3)e_2 &= (\frac{1}{4}(b+c)^2-a\,d)\,e_3, \qquad R(e_2,e_3)e_3 = -(\frac{1}{4}(b+c)^2-a\,d)\,e_2. \end{aligned}$$

if the metric is locally symmetric, then the direct computation of the local symmetry condition of equation (1) in Definition 1.1, yields the system:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (b-c)(a^2+b^2-c^2-d^2) &=& 0\\ (b+c)(a^2+b^2-ad+bc) &=& 0\\ d(a^2+b^2-ad+bc)^2 &=& 0\\ a(c^2+d^2-ad+cb) &=& 0\\ (b+c)(c^2+d^2-ad+bc) &=& 0\\ ac+bd &=& 0\\ a+d &\neq& 0 \end{array}\quad . \tag{9}$$

Using computer system Maple, the set of non trivial and real solutions of the system (9) is $\{(a,b,-b,a),(0,0,0,d),(a,0,0,0); a,d\in\mathbb{R}^*,\ b\in\mathbb{R}\}$. The structure constants a, b, c, d are uniquely determined, if we normalize by requiring that $a\geq d$, $b\geq c$ and $a+d>0$. Therefore the set of non trivial solution of system (9) is $\{(a,b,-b,a),(a,0,0,0), a>0, b>0\}$ ■

3. The $Z-$decomposition of the Lie algebra of Riemannian Lie groups

Definition 3.1. [13] *The $V-$decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a connected Riemannian Lie group (G,g) is an orthogonal and irreducible decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the primitive holonomy group at $e\in G$.*

Let h be an irreducible eigenvector of $\overline{R}$ with non null eigenvalue. $\widetilde{h}$ leaves the invariant and irreducible subspaces of the orthogonal $V-$decomposition invariant. Thus, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2. [13] *Let h be an irreducible eigenvector of $\overline{R}$ with non-null eigenvalue, the non trivial invariant subspace*

$$H_k = U_{k_1}\oplus U_{k_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus U_{k_{m_k}}$$

of $\widetilde{h}$ is contained in a single invariant and irreducible subspace of the $V-$decomposition.

Remark 3.3.
(1) *The dimension of each invariant and irreducible subspace is at leat 2;*
(2) *We can choose a decomposition (5) in such a way that any subspace U_i, $i>0$, is contained in a single invariant and irreducible subspace of the $V-$decomposition, see* [13];
(3) *One can choose a complete system of linearly independent irreducible eigenvectors of $\overline{R}$ which form a basis of $\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$.*

Let $\{h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_\rho, h_{\rho+1}, \cdots, h_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\}$ be such a system and assume that just the first ρ vectors are corresponding to non-null eigenvalues. Let $h \in S$, $h = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} a_i \lambda_i \widetilde{h_i}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and λ_i the eigenvalue of the eigenvector h_i. Therefore, $S = \mathrm{Span}\{\widetilde{h_1}, \widetilde{h_2}, \cdots, \widetilde{h_\rho}\}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ is the free Lie algebra on $\{\widetilde{h_1}, \widetilde{h_2}, \cdots, \widetilde{h_\rho}\}$.

For irreducible bivectors h_k, $1 \le k \le \rho$, let us consider the Jordan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = U_{k0} \oplus U_{k1} \oplus U_{k2} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{kN_k}$$

where U_{k0} is the kernel of $\widetilde{h_k}$, U_{kl}, $l \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ the real $\overline{h}_k$ invariant 2−plan in the Jordan decomposition and N_k the rank of h_k. Let $H_k^0 = U_{k0}$ and $H_k^1 = U_{k1} \oplus U_{k2} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{kN_k}$,

$$\widetilde{h_k} H_k^0 = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{h_k} H_k^1 \subset H_k^1.$$

We now construct the irreducible V−decomposition

3.1. **The main construction.** Let us choose an arbitrary vector h_{k_1}, $1 \le k_1 \le \rho$, and consider its subspaces $H_{k_1}^0$ and $H_{k_1}^1$ constructed above.

(1) If for any h_i, $i \ne k_1$ the relation $H_{k_1}^1 \subseteq H_i^0$ holds, then:
   i) $\mathcal{H} H_{k_1}^1 \subseteq H_{k_1}^1$ so that $H_{k_1}^1$ is a nontrivial $\mathcal{H}$−invariant subspace of V_j;
   ii) $\mathcal{H}$ acts irreducibly on V_j.

   Therefore $H_{k_1}^1 = V_j$, $j > 0$ is one of the subspaces of the V−decomposition.

(2) If there exist vectors $h_{k_2}, h_{k_3}, \cdots, h_{k_l}$ with $1 \le k_i \le \rho$, such that $H_{k_1}^1 \nsubseteq H_{k_i}^0$ holds for each k_i,
   (a) either $H_{k_1}^1 + H_{k_2}^1 + \cdots + H_{k_l}^1$ is one of the subspace V_j, $j > 0$ of the V−decomposition, if for any h_i, $i \notin \{k_2, k_3, \cdots, k_l\}$, the relation $H_{k_1}^1 + H_{k_2}^1 + \cdots + H_{k_l}^1 \subseteq H_i^0$ holds.
   (b) or, there exist h_i, $i \notin \{k_2, k_3, \cdots, k_l\}$ such that $H_{k_1}^1 + H_{k_2}^1 + \cdots + H_{k_l}^1 \nsubseteq H_i^0$. Therefore, we extend the system $H_{k_1}^1 + H_{k_2}^1 + \cdots + H_{k_l}^1$ with the elements H_i^1.

   This process can be repeated for $H_{k_1}^1 + H_{k_2}^1 + \cdots + H_{k_l}^1 + H_i^1$ until we obtain the *maximal system* $h_{k_1}, h_{k_2}, \cdots, h_{k_j}$ such that for every index i, $1 \le i \le j$, there is another index i', $1 \le i' < i$ with $H_{k_{i'}}^1 \nsubseteq H_{k_i}^0$. Furthermore for every index $i \notin \{k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_j\}$ we get the relation $H_{k_s}^1 \subseteq H_i^0$ $s \in \{1, 2, \cdots, j\}$. $V_k = H_{k_1}^1 + H_{k_2}^1 + \cdots + H_{k_j}^1$, $k > 0$
   is one of the invariant subspaces of the V−decomposition.

(3) By continuing the procedure we can construct all other invariant subspaces V_j, $j > 0$.

The following formulas where the notation $\nabla_{V_i} V_j \subset V_k$ means that for any $u_i \in V_i$ $\nabla_{u_i} u_j \in V_k$, holds for the spaces V_i:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \nabla_{V_0} V_0 \subset V_0, & \nabla_{V_0} V_i \subset V_i & \nabla_{V_i} V_i \subset V_0 + V_i \\ \nabla_{V_i} V_0 \subset V_0 + V_i & \nabla_{V_i} V_j \subset V_j & \texttt{if } i \ne j,\ i;\ j \ne 0. \end{array} \tag{10}$$

Therofore, V_0 and $V_0 + V_i$ are involutive, see [13].

Remark 3.4. (1) *If 0 is the only eigenvalue of the linear curvature tensor $\overline{R}$, then the curvature tensor vanishes. The primitive holonomy group is trivial i.e $\mathcal{H}_p = \{id_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit a V−decomposition.*

(2) *Let h be an irreducible eigenvector associated to nonnull eigenvalue λ of $\overline{R}$. If $\widetilde{h}$ is a linear automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, then:*
   i) *The dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ is even;*
   ii) *The subspaces involved in the V−decomposition are $H^0 = \ker \widetilde{h} = \{0\}$ and $H^1 = U_1 \oplus \cdots\cdots \oplus U_q = \mathfrak{g}$. Since h is irreducible, $\mathfrak{g}$ is contained in a single invariant subspace V_j, $j > 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit a V−decomposition.*

3.2. **The Z-decomposition.** Let V_i, $i > 0$ be a linear subspace given by the $V-$ decomposition . Let us consider for $i > 0$, the subspaces

$$Z_i = \text{Span}\{u_1, \nabla_{u_1}u_2, \nabla_{u_1}\nabla_{u_2}u_3, \cdots, \nabla_{u_1}\nabla_{u_2}\cdots\nabla_{u_l}u_{l+1}, u_i \in V_i, l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$ and Z_0 the complete subspace in $\mathfrak{g}$ which is totally orthogonal to the space $Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_k$.

Remark 3.5. $Z_0 \subset V_0$, $V_i \subset Z_i$ *and* $\mathcal{H}^{inf}Z_i \subset Z_i$.

We have the orthogonal splitting

$$\mathfrak{g} = Z_0 \oplus Z_1 \oplus Z_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_k. \tag{11}$$

see [13]. It is a decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ into invariant and irreducible subspaces with respect to the infinitesimal holonomy group at e.

Definition 3.6. [13]
The splitting (11) is called a $Z-$decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a connected Riemannian Lie group (G, g).

Proposition 3.7. [13] *The subspaces Z_i induce on G a totally parallel distribution. Thus they are involutive, and the integral manifolds are totally geodesic.*

Remark 3.8.
(1) *If (G, g) is locally symmetric Riemannian Lie group, then $V_i = Z_i$, since $\mathcal{H}^{inf} = \mathcal{H}$ and V_i is a nonnull $\mathcal{H}-$invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}-$irreducible subspace Z_i;*
(2) *Z_j, $j \geq 0$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$;*
(3) *If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a $Z-$decomposition, then the connected Riemannian Lie group (G, g) splits into a product of Riemannian Lie groups, see* [1].

4. Application of $Z-$decomposition.

We apply the $Z-$decomposition technics on the Lie algebras of 3−dimensional connected Lie groups, to the euclidian Lie algebras $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, to conformally flat 4−dimensional Riemannian Lie groups and to harmonic Weyl tensor 4−dimensional Riemannian Lie groups.

4.1. The euclidian 3−dimensional Lie algebras.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (G, g) be a 3−dimensional Riemannian Lie group. If at least 2 eigenvalues of the linear curvature tensor operator are nonnull, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G does not admit a $Z-$decomposition.*

proof: For a 3−dimensional Riemannian Lie group, any orthonormal Milnor basis (e_1, e_2, e_3) diagonalizes the Ricci operator, see [7] and the Weyl tensor vanishes ,see [2]. Therefore the matrix of the curvature operator in the basis $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_3)$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}\{K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{23}\}$, where K_{ij} with $i < j$, is the sectional curvature in the direction $(e_i \wedge e_j)$, see Proposition 2.10. The eigenvectors $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2$, $h_2 = e_1 \wedge e_3$ and $h_3 = e_2 \wedge e_3$ associated to the eigenvalues K_{12}, K_{13} and K_{23}, respectively, are irreducible.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a $Z-$decomposition. Then $\mathfrak{g} = V_0 \oplus V_1$ with $\dim V_0 = 1$ and $\dim V_1 = 2$. Let h_k and h_l, $k \neq l$ be the eigenvector associated to 2 nonnull eigenvalues of $[\overline{R}]$. Then $H^1_k = H^1_l$ since $H^1_s \subset V_1$, $s \in \{k, l\}$ and $\dim H^1_s = \dim V_1$. Therefore h_k and h_l are linearly dependent and $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit a $Z-$decomposition. ■

Theorem 4.2. *Let (G, g) be a 3−dimensional Riemannian Lie group. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G admits a $Z-$decomposition if and only there exist on $\mathfrak{g}$ an orthonormal basis with respect to $\langle , \rangle$ in which the nonnull structure constant is $C^2_{12} = a$, $a > 0$. The metric g is locally symmetric.*

proof:

(1) **Unimodular** 3**−dimensional euclidian Lie algebra**:
Let $\mathbb{B} = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ be an orthonormal Milnor basis, $C^3_{12} = a$, $C^1_{23} = c$ and $C^2_{31} = b$ the structure constants with respect to $\mathbb{B}$. The matrix of the linear curvature operator in the basis $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_3)$ is the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}\{K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{23}\}$ where

$$K_{12} = \frac{-2a(a-b-c) - (a-b+c)(a+b-c)}{4}$$
$$K_{13} = \frac{2b(a-b+c) + (a-b-c)(a+b-c)}{4}$$
$$K_{23} = \frac{2c(a+b-c) + (a-b+c)(a-b-c)}{4}$$

are the sectional curvature of the metric g.
(a) If $K_{ij} \neq 0$, $i < j$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit a $Z-$decomposition, see Lemma 4.1.
(b) If two of the eigenvalues of $\overline{R}$ vanish, *i.e* $(a,b,c) \in \{(a,a,0),(a,0,a),(0,b,b),\ a,b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then the third one also vanishes and $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit a $Z-$decomposition.
(c) If one of the eigenvalues of $\overline{R}$ vanishes, and the two other do not, *i.e* $(a,b,c) \notin \{(a,a,0),(a,0,a),(0,b,b),\ a,b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit a $Z-$decomposition, see Lemma 4.1.

(2) **Nonunimodular** 3**−dimensional euclidian Lie algebra**:
Let $\mathbb{B} = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ be an orthonormal Milnor basis and let $C^2_{12} = a$, $C^3_{12} = b$, $C^2_{13} = c$, and $C^3_{13} = d$, with $a + d > 0$, $ac + bd = 0$, $a \geq d$, and $b \geq c$ be the normalized structure constants with respect to $\mathbb{B}$. The matrix of the curvature operator in the basis $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_3)$ is the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}\{K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{23}\}$ where

$$K_{12} = -(a^2 + \frac{3}{4}b^2 - \frac{1}{4}c^2 + \frac{1}{2}bc) < 0, \quad \text{see the scale change in [7];}$$
$$K_{13} = -(d^2 - \frac{1}{4}b^2 + \frac{3}{4}c^2 + \frac{1}{2}bc)$$
$$K_{23} = \frac{1}{4}(b+c)^2 - ad$$

are the sectional curvature of the metric g.
(a) If $(K_{13}, K_{23}) \neq (0,0)$, then, by Lemma 4.1, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ doest not admit a $Z-$decomposition.
(b) If $K_{13} = K_{23} = 0$, then the unique solution that fulfills the normalizing conditions is $(a,b,c,d) = (a,0,0,0)$.

The matrix of the curvature operator is $\mathrm{diag}\{-a^2, 0, 0\}$. The $Z-$decomposition is $\mathfrak{g} = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$ where $Z_0 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_3\}$ and $Z_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$. The nonnull constant structure is $C^2_{12} = a$, $a > 0$. ■

Remark 4.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{g} = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$ *be a* $Z-$ *decomposition of a* 3*−dimensional nonunimodular euclidian Lie algebra , then* $[Z_0, Z_1] \subset Z_1$.

4.2. **Proof of theorem 1.2.**

Lemma 4.4. [11] *For an arbitrary inner product* $\langle , \rangle$ *on each of the Lie algebras* $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, *there exist an* $\langle , \rangle-$*orthonormal basis with non-zero structure constants given by the following table.*

Lie Algebra	*Structure onstants*	*Restriction*
$\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$	$C^1_{1,2} = a, \quad C^4_{1,2} = b$	$a > 0$
$\mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$	$C^1_{2,3} = a$	$a > 0$
$\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$	$C^1_{1,3} = a, \quad C^2_{2,3} = a, \quad C^1_{3,4} = b$	$a > 0$

table 3

In this subsection (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is an orthonormal basis of the Lie algebra in Lemma 4.4. The matrix of the curvature operator are given in the basis $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4, e_3 \wedge e_4)$ of $\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$.

(1) **The $Z-$decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$.**

For this Lie algebra, the nonnull components of the curvature tensors are:

$$\begin{array}{lll} R(e_1,e_2)e_1 = -(a^2+\frac{3}{4}b^2)e_2, & R(e_1,e_4)e_1 = \frac{1}{4}b^2e_4, & R(e_2,e_4)e_2 = \frac{1}{4}b^2e_4, \\ R(e_1,e_2)e_2 = (a^2+\frac{3}{4}b^2)e_1, & R(e_1,e_4)e_4 = -\frac{1}{4}b^2e_1, & R(e_2,e_4)e_4 = -\frac{1}{4}b^2e_2 \end{array}.$$

and the matrix of the linear curvature operator is $\mathtt{diag}\left(-(a^2+\frac{3}{4}b^2), 0, \frac{1}{4}b^2, 0, \frac{1}{4}b^2, 0\right)$.

Case I : $b \neq 0$

The eigenvectors $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2$, $h_2 = e_1 \wedge e_4$, $h_3 = e_2 \wedge e_4$ associated respectively to nonnull eigenvalues $-(a^2+\frac{3}{4}b^2)$, $\frac{1}{4}b^2$, $\frac{1}{4}b^2$ are irreducible. $H_1^0 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$, $H_1^1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, $H_2^0 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_2, e_3\}$, $H_2^1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_4\}$, $H_3^0 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_3\}$ and $H_3^1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_2, e_4\}$.

$H_1^1 \not\subseteq H_2^0$ and $H_1^1 \not\subseteq H_3^0$. The subspace $V_1 = H_1^1 + H_2^1 + H_3^1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_4\}$ is one of subspaces V_j, $j > 0$ and the $V-$decomposition of $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$ is $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1 = V_0 \oplus V_1$, where $V_0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_3\}$. The $Z-$decomposition of $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$ with $b \neq 0$ is

$$\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $Z_1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_4\}$ and $Z_0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_3\}$

For the Lie algebra Z_1, the Lie brackets are

$$[e_2, e_1] = -ae_1 - be_4, \quad [e_2, e_4] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_4] = 0.$$

Therefore, the left invariant Riemannian metric induced by the restriction on Z_1 of the inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is not locally symmetric, see Lemma 2.14. In other words, the metric g is not locally symmetric . Moreover $[Z_0, Z_1] = \{0\}$.

Case II : $b = 0$.

The eigenvector $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2$ associated to the nonnull eigenvalue $-a^2$ is irreducible. $H_1^0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$ and $H_1^1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$. The subspace $V_1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ is one of the subspaces V_j, $j > 0$. The $V-$decomposition is $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1 = V_0 \oplus V_1$, where $V_0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$. The $Z-$decomposition of $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$ with $b = 0$ is:

$$\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $Z_1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $Z_0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$.

The left invariant Riemannian metrics induced by the restriction on $Z_i, i \in \{0, 1\}$ of the inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ are locally symmetric, see Remark 2.12. Therefore, the metric g is locally symmetric. Furthermore Z_0 is a 2−dimensional abelian Lie algebra and $[Z_0, Z_1] = \{0\}$.

(2) **The $Z-$decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$.**

The matrix of the curvature operator is $\mathtt{diag}(\frac{1}{4}a^2, \frac{1}{4}a^2, 0, -\frac{3}{4}a^2, 0, 0)$. The eigenvectors $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2$, $h_2 = e_1 \wedge e_3$, $h_3 = e_2 \wedge e_3$ associated respectively to nonnull eigenvalues $\frac{1}{4}a^2$, $\frac{1}{4}a^2$, $-\frac{3}{4}a^2$ are irreducible. By direct computation, the $Z-$decomposition of $\mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ is:

$$\mathbb{A}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $Z_1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and $Z_0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_4\}$.

For the Lie algebra Z_1, the Lie brackets are

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = ae_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.13, the left invariant Riemannian metric induced by the restriction on Z_1 of the inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is not locally symmetric. It follow that the metric g is not locally symmetric. In addition $[Z_0, Z_1] = \{0\}$.

(3) **The $Z-$decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$.**

The matrix of the linear curvature operator is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -a^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2}ab & 0 \\ 0 & -a^2+\frac{1}{4}b^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -ab \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4}b^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2}ab & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ab & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4}b^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Case I: $b = 0$.

The matrix of the linear curvature operator is $\mathtt{diag}(-a^2, -a^2, 0, -a^2, 0, 0,)$. The eigenvectors $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2, \quad h_2 = e_1 \wedge e_3, \quad h_3 = e_2 \wedge e_3$ associated respectively to nonnull eigenvalues $-a^2, \quad -a^2, \quad -a^2$ are irreducible. By direct computation, the $Z-$decomposition of $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ is:

$$\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $Z_1 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ $Z_0 = \mathtt{Span}\{e_4\}$.

For the Lie algebra Z_1, the Lie brackets are

$$[e_3, e_1] = -ae_1 + 0e_2, \quad [e_3, e_2] = 0e_1 - ae_2, \quad \text{and} \quad [e_1, e_2] = 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.14, the left invariant Riemannian metric induced by the restriction on Z_1 of the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is locally symmetric. Hence g is a locally symmetric. Moreover, $[Z_0, Z_1] = \{0\}$.

Case II: $b \neq 0$.

The eigenvalues , their multiplicities and the associated eigenvectors in the basis $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4, e_3 \wedge e_4)$ of $\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ of the above matrix are contained in the following table:

Eigenvalue	multiplicity	Eigenvectors
$1/2\left(-a + \sqrt{a^2+b^2}\right)a$	1	$h_1 = \dfrac{a - \sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{b} e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_2 \wedge e_4$
$1/2\left(-a - \sqrt{a^2+b^2}\right)a$	1	$h_2 = \dfrac{a + \sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{b} e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_2 \wedge e_4$
$\frac{1}{4}b^2$	2	$h_3 = e_1 \wedge e_4,\ h_4 = -\dfrac{b}{a} e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_3 \wedge e_4$
$-a^2 - 3/4\, b^2$	1	$h_5 = \dfrac{a}{b} e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_3 \wedge e_4$
$-a^2$	1	$h_6 = e_2 \wedge e_3$

Table 4

By direct computation we prove that $\dim \ker \widetilde{h_i} = 2,\ i \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ therefore, they are irreducible by Remark 2.8, and we also prove by direct computation that, if $b \neq 0$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ does not admit a $Z-$decomposition.

Remark 4.5. *Let (G, g) be a connected Riemannian Lie group with the associated euclidian Lie algebra $(\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$.*

(1) *If $b = 0$, then the metric g is locally symmetric.*
(2) *If $b \neq 0$, we can't conclude.*

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** O.P.Gladunova in [10] classified 4-dimensional unimodular harmonic Weyl tensor Riemannian Lie groups. For 4-dimensional nonunimodular Riemannian Lie groups, we refer to D.S. Voronov in [3]. Table 5 below indicates the Lie algebras and the structure constants in an orthonormal basis (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) for harmonic Weyl tensor with $W \neq 0$, for 4−dimensional Riemannian Lie groups and the restrictions on their structure constants.

Table 5: Lie algebras of 4-dimensional $\mathcal{C}$−spaces Riemannian Lie groups with $W \neq 0$.

Lie algebras	Structure constants	Constraints on $C^k_{i,j}$
	Decomposable nonunimodular	
$\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$	$C^2_{1,2} = a$	$a > 0$
$2\mathbb{A}_2$	$C^2_{1,2} = a,\ C^4_{3,4} = b$	$a > 0,\ b > 0$
	Indecomposable nonunimodular	
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,5}$	$C^1_{1,4} = C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = a$	$a > 0$
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,6}$	$C^1_{1,4} = \alpha a,\ C^3_{2,4} = -C^2_{3,4} = -a$	$\alpha \neq 1,\ a > 0$
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,6}$	$C^1_{1,4} = C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = \beta a,\ C^3_{2,4} = -C^2_{3,4} = -a$	$\beta > 0,\ a > 0$
$\mathbb{A}^{\beta}_{4,9}$	$C^1_{1,4} = C^1_{2,3} = 2a,\ C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = a$	$a > 0$
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{4,11}$	$C^1_{1,4} = C^1_{2,3} = 2a\alpha,$ $C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = a\alpha,$ $C^3_{2,4} = -C^2_{3,4} = -a$	$\alpha > 0,\ a > 0$

We recall the complete list of 4−dimensional metric Lie algebras satisfying $W = 0$ in Table 6, given by E.D. Rodionov in [6]. We refer to Proposition 2.10 for the orthonomal basis and the spectrum of the curvature operator.

proof: According to the classification table of 4−dimensional Riemannian Lie groups with nonnull harmonic Weyl tensor, see table 5, we compute the matrix of the curvature tensor in the basis $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4, e_3 \wedge e_4)$, where (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

(1) **The Z−decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{A}_2 \oplus 2\mathbb{A}_1$.**
See item (1) of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

(2) **The Z−decomposition of the Lie algebra $2\mathbb{A}_2$.**
The matrix of the curvature operator is $[\overline{R}] = \mathrm{diag}(-a^2, 0, 0, 0, 0, -b^2)$. For the nonnull eigenvalue $-a^2$ and $-b^2$ of $[\overline{R}]$, the associated and irreducible eigenvectors are $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2$ and $h_2 = e_3 \wedge e_4$. Therefore $H^0_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$, $H^1_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, $H^0_2 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, and $H^1_2 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_3, e_3\}$.
i) $H^1_1 \subset H^0_2$, therefore, H^1_1 is one of the subspaces V_j, $j > 0$ in the V−decomposition.
ii) $H^1_2 \subset H^0_1$, hence, H^1_2 is one of the subspaces V_i, $i > 0$ in the V−decomposition.
Setting $V_1 = H^1_1$ and $V_2 = H^1_2$, the V−decomposition of $2\mathbb{A}_2$ is $2\mathbb{A}_2 = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and the Z−decomposition is:

$$2\mathbb{A}_2 = Z_1 \oplus Z_2,$$

where $Z_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $Z_2 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$.
The components Z_1 and Z_2 of the Z−decomposition satisfy $[Z_1, Z_2] = \{0\}$. Moreover, the left invariant Riemannian metrics induced by the restriction on on $Z_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ of the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ are locally symmetric, by Remark 2.12. Thus, the metric g is locally symmetric.

(3) **The Z−decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,6}$, $\alpha \neq 1$.**

The nonnull structure constants are $C^1_{1,4} = \alpha a$, $C^3_{2,4} = -a$, $C^2_{3,4} = a$, $a > 0$ and the matrix of the curvature tensor linear operator is $[\overline{R}] = \mathtt{diag}(0, 0, -(\alpha a)^2, 0, 0, 0)$. For the nonnull eigenvalue $-(\alpha a)^2$ of $[\overline{R}]$, the associated irreducible eigenvector is $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_4$. The $Z-$decomposition is

$$\mathfrak{g} = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $Z_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_4\}$ and $Z_0 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_2, e_3\}$. On the other hand, the left invariant Riemannian metrics induced by the restriction on $Z_i, i \in \{0, 1\}$ of the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ are locally symmetric, by Remark 2.12. Therefore, the metric g is locally symmetric. Also $[Z_0, Z_1] = Z_0$ and Z_0 is an abelian Lie algebra.

(4) **The $Z-$decomposition of the Lie algebras $\mathbb{A}_{3,9} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, , $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{3,7} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, and $\mathbb{A}_{4,12}$.**

For these Lie algebras, the irreducible eigenvectors associated to nonnull eigenvalues are $h_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2$, $h_2 = e_1 \wedge e_3$ and $h_3 = e_2 \wedge e_3$. By direct computation, the $Z-$decomposition is

$$\mathfrak{g} = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $Z_0 = \{e_4\}$ and $Z_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

For more details see the case $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ in the proof of Theorem 1.2. ■

4.3.1. *The structure of the 3-dimensional component Z_1.* The Lie brackets on the 3−dimensional components Z_1 of the above Lie algebras are:

(1) For $\mathbb{A}_{3,9} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$,

$$[e_1, e_2] = -a\sqrt{1+m^2}\, e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -a\sqrt{1+m^2}\, e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = -a\sqrt{1+m^2}\, e_2.$$

Moreover, $[Z_0, Z_1] \subset Z_1$.

(2) For $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{3,7} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$,

$$[e_3, e_1] = -\alpha a\, e_1 + a\, e_2, \quad [e_3, e_2] = -ae_1 - \alpha\, ae_2, \quad [e_1, e_2] = 0.$$

and it holds that $[Z_0, Z_1] = \{0\}$.

(3) For $\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, see item (3) of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

(4) For $\mathbb{A}_{4,12}$,

$$[e_3, e_1] = -\sqrt{a^2+b^2}\, e_1 + \frac{bd}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}\, e_2, \quad [e_3, e_2] = -\frac{bd}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}\, e_1 - \sqrt{a^2+b^2} e_2,$$

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0.$$

We also have $[Z_0, Z_1] \subset Z_1$.

For each of these Lie algebras, the left invariant Riemannian metric induced by the restriction of the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on Z_1 are locally symmetric by Lemmas 2.13 and 2.14. Therefore, the metrics g are locally symmetric.

Remark 4.6. *The other Lie algebras in tables 5 and 6 do not admit $Z-$decomposition.*

(1) *For the Lie algebras $4\mathbb{A}_1$, $\mathbb{A}_{3,6} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$, 0 is the only eigenvalue, see Remark 3.4.*

(2) *For the Lie algebras $\mathbb{A}^{\beta}_{4,9}, \beta = 1$, $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,}_{4,11}, \alpha > 0$, $\ker \widetilde{h_i} = \{0\}$, where h_i is an irreducible eigenvector associated to nonnull eigenvalue of curvature operator $\overline{R}$, see Remark 3.4. For these Lie algebras, the matrix $\overline{R}$ in the basis $(e_i \wedge e_j)_{i<j}$ is given by O.P. Gladunova in* [9].

(3) *For the Lie algebras $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,5}$, $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,6}, \alpha = \beta$ with $C^1_{1,4} = C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = \alpha a$, and $C^2_{3,4} = -C^3_{2,4} = a$, by the direct application of $Z-$decomposition technic, we have $Z_1 = \mathfrak{g}$.*

Table 6: Lie algebras of 4-dimensional conformally fat Riemannian Lie groups.

Lie algebras	Structure constants	$\mathrm{Spec}(\overline{R}) = \{K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{14}, K_{23}, K_{24}, K_{34}\}$
$4\mathbb{A}_1$	$C^k_{i,j} = 0,\ \forall i,j,k,$	$\{0,0,0,0,0,0\}$
$\mathbb{A}_{3,6} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ $c > 0$	$C^1_{2,3} = c,\ \ C^2_{1,3} = -c$	$\{0,0,0,0,0,0\}$
$\mathbb{A}_{3,9} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ $a > 0$	$C^2_{1,3} = a\sqrt{1+m^2}$ $C^3_{1,2} = C^1_{2,3} = -a\sqrt{1+m^2}$ $C^1_{2,4} = -C^2_{1,4} = a\,m\sqrt{1+m^2}$	$\left\{\frac{a^2(1+m^2)}{4}, \frac{a^2(1+m^2)}{4}, 0, \frac{a^2(1+m^2)}{4}, 0, 0\right\}$
$\mathbb{A}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ $a > 0$	$C^1_{1,3} = C^2_{2,3} = a$	$\{-a^2, -a^2, 0, -a^2, 0, 0\}$
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{3,7} \oplus \mathbb{A}_1$ $a > 0,\ \alpha > 0$	$C^1_{1,3} = C^2_{2,3} = \alpha a$ $C^1_{2,3} = -C^2_{1,3} = a$	$\{-\alpha^2 a^2, -\alpha^2 a^2, 0, -\alpha^2 a^2, 0, 0\}$
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,5}$ $a > 0$ $\alpha = \beta = 1$	$C^1_{1,4} = C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = a$	$\{-a^2, -a^2, -a^2, -a^2, -a^2, -a^2\}$
$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_{4,6}$ $\alpha = \beta,$ $a > 0, \alpha > 0$	$C^1_{1,4} = C^2_{2,4} = C^3_{3,4} = \alpha a$ $C^2_{3,4} = -C^3_{2,4} = a$	$\{-\alpha^2 a^2, -\alpha^2 a^2, -\alpha^2 a^2, -\alpha^2 a^2, -\alpha^2 a^2, -\alpha^2 a^2\}$
$\mathbb{A}_{4,12}$ $a > 0, d > 0$	$C^1_{1,3} = C^2_{2,3} = \sqrt{a^2+b^2}$ $C^1_{2,4} = -C^2_{1,4} = \frac{a\,d}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}$ $C^1_{2,3} = -C^2_{1,3} = \frac{b\,d}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}$	$\{-(a^2+b^2), -(a^2+b^2), 0, -(a^2+b^2), 0, 0\}$

References

[1] Antonio J. DI scala, Autoparallel Distribution and Splitting Theorems, *TSUKUBA J. Maths*, **27 (1) (2003), 99-102.**

[2] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein Manifold*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heildelberg (Berlin,1987).

[3] D. S. Voronov and E.D Rodionov, Left-Invariant Riemannian Metrics on Four-Dimensional Nonunimodular Lie Groups with Zero-Divergence Weyl Tensor, *Doklady Mathematics*, 81(3) (2010), 392-394.

[4] E. Cartan, Sur les classes remarquables d'espaces Riemanniens, *Bulletin de la S.M.F*, 54 (1926), 214-264.

[5] E. Cartan, Sur les classes remarquables d'espaces Riemanniens II, *Bulletin de la S.M.F*, 55 (1927), 114-134.

[6] E. D. Rodionov, V.V. Slavskii, O. P. Khromova, On the Curvature Operator Spectrum of (Half)Conformally Flat Riemannian Metrics, *The news of Altai State University*,(2015) DOI: 10.14258/izvasu(2015)1.1-19.

[7] John Milnor, Curvature of Left Invariant Metrics on Lie Groups, *Adv.Math.* 21(1976), 293-329.

[8] O. P. Gladunova, E. D. Rodianov, and V.V. Slavskii, On the Spectrum of Curvature Operator of Conformally Flat Riemannian Manifold, *Doklady Mathematics*, 87(3) (2013), 279-281.

[9] O. P. Gladunova, D.N. Oskorbin, An Application of Symbolic Computation Packages to the Investigation of the Curvature Operator Spectrum on the Metric Lie Groups, *The news of Altai State University*, 1(2013), 19-23.

[10] O. P. Gladunova, V.V. Slavskii, Harmonicity of the Weyl Tensor of Left-Invariant Riemannian Metric on Four-Dimensional Unimodular Lie Groups, *Doklady Mathematics*, 81(2) (2010), 298-300.

[11] P. N. Klepikov, D.N. Oskorbin, Milnor's Generalized Bases for Some Four-dimensional Metric Lie Algebras, *The news of Altai State University*,(2015) DOI 10.14258/izvasu(2015)1.1-13.

[12] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol I, Wiley, New York, (1963).

[13] Z. I. Szabó, Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X,Y).R = 0$. The local version, *J. Differential Geometry* 17 (1982), 531-582.